

## Atypicality index as an add-on to combined first-trimester screening for chromosomal aberrations

Gadsbøll K, Vogel I, Pedersen LH, Kristensen SE, Wright A, Wright D, Petersen OB

Center for Fetal Medicine, Pregnancy and Ultrasound, Copenhagen University Hospital, Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen, Denmark

### Objective

To assess the clinical utility of an atypicality index as an adjunct to combined first-trimester screening for chromosomal aberrations.

### Methods

From Central Denmark Region databases, we identified all pregnant women seen for cFTS between January 2008 and December 2018. All pregnancies with a cytogenetic or molecular analysis obtained prenatally, following pregnancy loss or termination of pregnancy, or postnatally were identified. An atypicality index was computed from nuchal translucency thickness, maternal serum free  $\beta$ -human chorionic gonadotropin, and pregnancy-associated plasma protein-A. An atypicality index quantifies how unusual an individual set of measurements are relative to a multivariate reference distribution for unaffected pregnancies, with increasing values reflecting a more atypical measurement profile.

### Results

We retrieved data on 146,076 singleton pregnancies of which 9,856 (6.7%) were genetically examined. Overall, 1 in 122 of all pregnancies seen for cFTS ( $n=1,198$ , 0.82%) was affected by a fetal chromosomal aberration (12.2% of the pregnancies tested). In screen-negative pregnancies (cFTS T21 risk  $<1$  in 100 and/or T18/13 risk  $<1$  in 50), the risk of chromosomal aberrations increased with higher atypicality index (AcFTS). The risk of a chromosomal aberration increased from 0.28% [95% CI 0.25-0.31%] in pregnancies with the most typical measurement profile (AcFTS $<80\%$ ) to 0.49% [95% CI 0.38-0.62%], 1.5% [95% CI 1.3-1.8%], and 5.1% [3.4-7.5%] in those with AcFTS of (80-90%), (90-99%), and  $>99\%$ , respectively. Compared to the background risk in screen-negative pregnancies, the relative risk increased from 0.67 [95% CI 0.59-0.77] in the most typical group (AcFTS $<80\%$ ) to 1.2 [95% CI 0.9-1.5], 3.6 [95% CI 3.1-4.3], and 11.9 [95% CI 8.1-17.5] in the highly atypical group (AcFTS $>99\%$ ).

### Conclusion

The atypicality index can be used as a tool for clinicians to optimize the interpretation of preexisting prenatal screening profiles. In pregnancies considered at low risk of chromosomal aberrations from cFTS, an atypicality index from NT, PAPP-A, and  $\beta$ -hCG MoM, identifies a subgroup of women with highly unusual measurement profiles where the risk of a chromosomal aberration is substantially increased, and further testing should be considered.