



## **Analysis of no call results of NIPTS (Panorama)**

Goette M, Bianchi M, Graupner O, Iacoi A, Enzensberger C, Axt-Fliedner R  
UKGM Gießen, University Hospital, Division of Prenatal Medicine, Giessen, Germany

### **Objective**

Screening for chromosomal abnormalities by NIPTs (non-invasive prenatal testing) is an important component of clinical practice. The tests have a high test quality and are constantly being developed. In some cases, no evaluation can be made for a variety of reasons. The aim of this work is to analyse all No Call results from our clinic and identify possible reasons for these "test failures".

### **Methods**

Retrospective analysis of all NIPTs (n = 387) from our Division of Prenatal Medicine (UKGM, Gießen). The NIPT (Panorama, Natera) we use is based on the SNP (single-nucleotide-polymorphism) method.

### **Results**

In the period from 09/2016 to 03/2018, there were a total of 387 NIPT's in our division of prenatal medicine. The total no-call test rate of all NIPTs is 5.17% (n = 20). 17 cases were affected, of which 13 were between 11+0-13+6 weeks. In 12/17 cases re-testing took place, in three cases a further no-call resulted, in seven cases an inconspicuous result and in one case a high risk result. In five cases, a Re-NIPT (Panorama) was omitted and in five cases an invasive diagnosis was performed. Overall, the no call results revealed five chromosomal abnormalities. The reasons for a no result in NIPT include low fetal fraction, a conspicuous chromosome finding (e. g. trisomy 21, triploidy), or inadequate DNA sequencing.

### **Conclusion**

The test possibilities of the various NIPTs are constantly being further developed. The test grades are also very good, depending on the NIPT and the laboratory method. The reasons for a non-evaluatable sample are multifold and show the limitations of the test options.