

Cervical pessary versus vaginal progesterone for the prevention of preterm birth in women with a twin pregnancy and a cervix <38 mm

Dang QV, Nguyen KL, Pham DT, He TNY, Vu NK, Phan TNM, Le QT, Le HC, Vuong TNL, Mol BW My Duc, Ho Chi Minh, Viet Nam

Objective

The aim of the study was to compare the effectiveness of cervical pessary and vaginal progesterone in the prevention of preterm birth and its consequences on neonates in women with a twin pregnancy and a short cervix.

Methods

This randomised controlled trial (NCT02623881) was conducted at My Duc hospital, Viet Nam. Asymptomatic women with a twin pregnancy and a cervical length (CL) <38 mm were randomised to pessary or progesterone (400 mg per day). Primary outcome was PTB <34 weeks. Secondary outcomes were maternal and neonatal complications, including a composite of poor perinatal outcome. We pre-planned a subgroup analysis according to CL divided into four quartiles. Analysis was by intention to treat.

Results

Between March 2016 and June 2017, 300 women underwent randomisation. PTB <34 weeks occurred in 24 (16·2%) women in pessary group and in 33 (22·1%) women in progesterone group (RR 0·73, 95% CI 0·46 to 1·18). The use of pessary significantly reduced the composite of poor perinatal outcome (26·5% versus 18·6%, RR 0·70, 95% CI 0·43 to 0·93). In women with a CL <25th percentile (<28 mm), pessary significantly reduced the PTB rate <34 weeks from 45·7% (16/35) to 21·3% (10/47) (RR 0·47 (0·24 to 0·90). The composite of poor perinatal outcome was also significantly improved.

Conclusion

Cervical pessary, as compared to vaginal progesterone, reduced the PTB <34 weeks and significantly improved neonatal outcomes in women with a twin pregnancy and a short cervix. For women with a very short cervix (<28 mm), the effectiveness of pessary over progesterone was more profound.